

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ľ
09/062,552	04/20/98	SHIRAIWA		Υ	35.G2135	•
			¬ 1	EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK NY 10112		[WALLER!	SON, M PAPER NUMBER		

2722

DATE MAILED:

10/02/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

NB

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/062,552

Applicant(s)

Shiraiwa

Examiner

Mark Wallerson

Group Art Unit 2722



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 27, 2000			
☐ This action is FINAL .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for fo in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	rmal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed .D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to exis longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to rapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	espond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Claim(s)			
Claim(s)			
☐ Claims			
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Residue is a paper of the drawing(s) filed on	to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved. er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). priority documents have been rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE F	OULOWING PAGES		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/062,552

Art Unit: 2722

9/27/2000

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. Please note that a new Examiner has been assigned to this case.
- 2. This action is responsive to the following communications: amendments filed on 6/27/2000 and 9/5/2000.
- 3. This application has been reconsidered. Claims 1-79 are pending.

Continued Prosecution Application

The request filed on 7/28/2000 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/062,552 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 20 is cited as being dependent on claim 1.5. Appropriate correction is

Claim 20 is cited as being dependent on claim 1,5. Appropriate correction is required.

For this Office Action, claim 20 is assumed to be dependent on claim 15.

Art Unit: 2722

9/27/2000

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. Claim 2 recites the limitation "reproduction introduction data" in the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

10. Claim 62 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Maybe "are" should be inserted before "recorded".

Art Unit: 2722

9/27/2000

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 12. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22-26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, and 79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Parulski et. al. (hereinafter referred to as Parulski) (U. S. 5,633,678).

With respect to claims 1, 2, 3, 28, 32, 43, 44, 48, 51, 62 (as best understood), 63, and 64, Parulski discloses a memory medium (24) for use in a memory medium reading device (5), the memory medium storing image data for a plurality of images (the abstract, lines 10-14 and column 2, lines 38-39) and image-reproduction instruction data (instructions) specifying whether certain of the image data is to be output (column 5, lines 9-24) for being selectively reproduced in response to the memory medium reading device reading the image-reproduction instruction data (column 2, lines 43-47).

With regard to claims 6, 7, 8, 11, 15, 21, 27, 31, 39, 40, 41, 42, 47, 52, 57, 59, and 61, Parulski discloses an image output control method for use with a recording medium (24) storing

Art Unit: 2722

9/27/2000

image data for a plurality of images (the abstract, lines 10-14 and column 2, lines 38-39) and image-reproduction instruction data (instructions) specifying whether certain of the image data is to be output (column 5, lines 9-24) for being selectively reproduced in response to the memory medium reading device reading the image-reproduction instruction data (column 2, lines 43-47), comprising a function of recognizing mounting of the recording medium (column 5, lines 35-39); reading the instruction data recorded on the recording medium based on the recognition (column 5, lines 35-51), and performing image generation for output by selectively reading necessary image data from the information recording medium in accordance with the instruction data (column 8, lines 1-33); output means (6a) for outputting the output data; data display means (6b) for displaying the image data and instruction data, and data processing means for analyzing the instruction data (column 5, lines 32-51), and means for recognizing the number of prints to be output (column 6, lines 14-16).

With respect to claims 4, 29, 33, 45, 49, and 65, Parulski discloses that the instruction data is provided for the name (classification) of each data (column 4, line 56 to column 5, line 8).

With regard to claims 5, 30, 34, 46, 50, and 66, Parulski discloses instructing the image data to be selectively reproduced and stored in a specific file (column 5, lines 1-8).

With regard to claims 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22-26, 70, 75, 76, and 77, Parulski discloses determining whether the instruction data is stored in the medium, and when it is, selectively outputting the instructed image data, and if it is determined that the instruction data

Art Unit: 2722

9/27/2000

is not stored in the medium, using a program incorporated within the apparatus (column 5, lines 32-51).

With respect to claims 53, 54, and 60, Parulski discloses displaying a summary of data to be selectively output and displaying data for specifying data recorded in the recording medium and information indicating whether instructions are present (column 2, lines 2-13 and column 5, line 52 to column 6, line 43).

With respect to claim 58, Parulski discloses means for editing data of the recording medium (column 6, lines 17-43).

With respect to claims 35, 36, 37, 38, 67, 68, 69, 71, 72, 73, 74, 78, and 79, Parulski discloses a display for displaying a soft copy output (column 5, line 52 to column 6, line 43) and a printer (6a) for producing a hardcopy output.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claim 56 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parulski in view of Kurihara et. al. (hereinafter referred to as Kurihara) (U. S. 5,901,278).

Art Unit: 2722

9/27/2000

Parulski differs from claim 56 in that he does not clearly disclose performing an alarm display when the number of prints to be output is larger than the number of outputtable prints. Kurihara discloses an alarm displayed when a memory data over flow signal is detected (column 3, lines 7-14 and 45-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Parulski to perform an alarm display when the number of prints to be output is larger than the number of outputtable prints. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Parulski by the teaching of Kurihara in order to improve the printing process.

Response to Arguments

- 15. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-62 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Wallerson whose telephone number is (703) 305-8581.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC 20231

Art Unit: 2722

9/27/2000

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051 (for formal communications intended for entry)

(703) 308-9589 (for informal or draft communications, such as proposed amendments to be discussed at an interview; please label such communications "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

or hand-carried to:

Crystal Park Two

2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington. VA.

Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

MARK WALLERSON